Independent Tests of Anti-Virus Software



Details of False Alarms Appendix to the Malware Protection Test

TEST PERIOD: MARCH 2022 LAST REVISION: 11TH APRIL 2022

WWW.AV-COMPARATIVES.ORG

Details of false alarms

In AV testing, it is important to measure not only detection capabilities but also reliability. One aspect of reliability is the ability to recognize clean files as such, and not to produce false alarms (false positives). No product is immune from false positives (FPs), but some produce more than others. False Positives Tests measure which programs do best in this respect, i.e. distinguish clean files from malicious files, despite their context. There is no complete collection of all legitimate files that exist, and so no "ultimate" test of FPs can be done. What can be done, and is reasonable, is to create and use a set of clean files which is independently collected. If, when using such a set, one product has e.g. 15 FPs and another only 2, it is likely that the first product is more prone to FPs than the other. It doesn't mean the product with 2 FPs doesn't have more than 2 FPs globally, but it is the relative number that is important.

All listed false alarms were encountered at the time of testing. False alarms caused by unencrypted data blocks in anti-virus related files were not counted. If a product had several false alarms belonging to the same application, it is counted here as only one false alarm. Cracks, keygens, or other highly questionable tools, including FPs distributed/shared primarily by vendors (which may be in the several thousands) or other non-independent sources are not counted here as false positives.

In order to give more information to the user about the false alarms, we try to rate the prevalence of the false alarms. Files which were digitally signed are considered more important. Due to that, a file with the lowest prevalence level (Level 1) and a valid digital signature is upgraded to the next level (e.g. prevalence "Level 2"). Extinct files which according to several telemetry sources had zero prevalence have been provided to the vendors in order to fix them, but have also been removed from the set and were not counted as false alarms.

	Level	Presumed number of affected users	Comments
1		Probably fewer than a hundred users	Individual cases, old or rarely used files, very low prevalence
2		Probably several hundreds of users	Initial distribution of such files was
3	3	Probably several thousands of users	probably much higher, but current
4		Probably several tens of thousands (or more) of users	(despite its presence), that is why also well-known software may now affect / have only a prevalence of some hundreds or thousands of users.
5		Probably several hundreds of thousands or millions of users	Such cases are likely to be seen much less frequently in a false alarm test done at a specific time, as such files are usually either whitelisted or would be noticed and fixed very fast.

The prevalence is given in five categories and labeled with the following colors:

Most false alarms will probably (hopefully) fall into the first two levels most of the time.

In our opinion, anti-virus products should not have false alarms on any sort of clean files regardless of how many users are currently affected by them. While some AV vendors may play down the risk of false alarms and play up the risk of malware, we are not going to rate products based on what the supposed prevalence of false alarms is. We already allow a certain number of false alarms (currently 10) inside our clean set before we start penalizing scores, and in our opinion products which produce a higher number of false alarms are also more likely to produce false alarms with more prevalent files (or in other sets of clean files). The prevalence data we give for clean files is just for informational purpose. The listed prevalence can differ inside the report, depending on which file/version the false alarm occurred, and/or how many files of the same kind were affected.

There may be a variation in the number of false positives produced by two different programs that use the same engine (principal detection component). For example, Vendor A may license its detection engine to Vendor B, but Vendor A's product may have more or fewer false positives than Vendor B's product. This can be due to factors such as different internal settings being implemented, differences and services such additional other components as or differing secondary in engines/signatures/whitelist databases/cloud services/guality assurance, and possible time delay between the release of the original signatures and the availability of the signatures for third-party products.

False Positives (FPs) are an important measurement for AV quality. Furthermore, the test is useful and needed to avoid that vendors optimize products to score good in tests by looking at the context – this is why false alarms are being mixed and tested the same way as tests with malware are done. One FP report from a customer can result in large amount of engineering and support work to resolve the issue. Sometimes this can even lead to important data loss or system unavailability. Even "not significant" FPs (or FPs on older applications) deserve mention and attention because FPs are likely to be a result of principled rule detections. It just happened that the FP was on an insignificant file. The FP possibility is probably still in the product and could potentially cause an FP again on a more significant file. Thus, they still deserve mention and still deserve to be penalised. Below you will find some info about the false alarms we observed in our independent set of clean files. Red entries highlight false alarms on files that were digitally signed.

The detection names shown were taken mostly from pre-execution scan logs (where available). If a threat was blocked on/during/after execution (or no clear detection name was seen), we state "Blocked" in the column "Detected as".



ESET had zero false alarms.

Avira

False alarm found in some parts of	Detected as	Supposed prevalence	
Xspy package	Blocked		

Avira had 1 false alarm.

TotalAV

False alarm found in some parts of	Detected as	Supposed prevalence
Xspy package	TR/FakeAV.dtym.1	

TotalAV had 1 false alarm.

Kaspersky

False alarm found in some parts of	Detected as	Supposed prevalence
FileShredder package	UDS:Trojan-Downloader.Win32.Banload	
PCviewer package	UDS:DangerousObject.Multi.Generic	

Kaspersky had 2 false alarms.

McAfee

False alarm found in some parts of	Detected as	Supposed prevalence
CL package	Real Protect-LS!9959ef7e3cf2	
Cleanerz package	Real Protect-LS!6cdcb20b70c6	
Cubes package	Real Protect-LS!32eeed54f167	

McAfee had 3 false alarms.

NortonLifeLock

False alarm found in some parts of	Detected as	Supposed prevalence
DirectX package	Trojan.Gen.X	
Easo package	Trojan.Gen	
MKV package	Trojan.FakeAV	
Pyth package	Heur.AdvML.B	

NortonLifeLock had 4 false alarms.

Microsoft

False alarm found in some parts of	Detected as	Supposed prevalence
CL package	Trojan:Win32/Contebrew.A!ml	
Elenco package	Trojan:Win32/Wacatac.B!ml	
Polish package	Trojan:Win32/Sabsik.FL.B!ml	
VirtualSkipper package	Trojan:Win32/Bearfoos.B!ml	

4



Webber package	Trojan:Win32/Wacatac.B!ml	•	

Microsoft had 5 false alarms.

Malwarebytes

False alarm found in some parts of	Detected as	Supposed prevalence
BitComet package	Blocked	•
DevArt package	Blocked	
ExtensionManager package	MachineLearning/Anomalous.100%	
Faronics package	MachineLearning/Anomalous.94%	
GetNetwork package	MachineLearning/Anomalous.96%	
VideoCodec package	MachineLearning/Anomalous.95%	
Xspy package	URL-Block	

Malwarebytes had 7 false alarms.

Bitdefender

False alarm found in some parts of	Detected as	Supposed prevalence
DeskCalc package	Gen:Heur.Mint.Titirez.Hr0@6Gaaz57S	
Faronics package	Blocked	
Fotocolor package	Blocked	
Gesangstrainer package	Blocked	
Kalender package	Blocked	
OpenImage package	Gen:Variant.Fugrafa.195558	
TextImport package	Blocked	
Videothek package	Blocked	

Bitdefender had 8 false alarms.

Total Defense

False alarm found in some parts of	Detected as	Supposed prevalence
DeskCalc package	Gen:Heur.Mint.Titirez.Hr0@6Gaaz5	
DevArt package	Blocked	
Faronics package	Blocked	
Fotocolor package	Blocked	
Gesangstrainer package	Blocked	
Kalender package	Blocked	
OpenImage package	Gen:Variant.Fagrufa.195558	
TextImport package	Blocked	

Total Defense had 8 false alarms.

Trend Micro

False alarm found in some parts of	Detected as	Supposed prevalence
Burst package	Suspicious	
BuyerTools package	Suspicious	
CueCard package	Suspicious	
DialerControl package	Suspicious	
Hamburg package	Suspicious	
HDCleaner package	Suspicious	
Mediapiraten package	Suspicious	
Snorkel package	Suspicious	
Tweakpower package	Suspicious	

Trend Micro had 9 false alarms.

VIPRE

False alarm found in some parts of	Detected as	Supposed prevalence
AVG package	Blocked	
DeskCalc package	Blocked	
DevArt package	Blocked	
Faronics package	Blocked	
Gesangstrainer package	Blocked	
Kalender package	Blocked	
ReaConverter package	Blocked	
TextImport package	Blocked	
Videothek package	Blocked	

VIPRE had 9 false alarms.

Avast / AVG

False alarm found in some parts of	Detected as	Supposed prevalence
BinkVideo package	Blocked	
Faronics package	Blocked	
GetNetwork package	Blocked	
MultiCommander package	FileRepMetagen	
Polish package	Blocked	
Preishai package	Blocked	
QuickBatch package	Win32:Malware-gen	
SubFun package	FileRepMalware	
Tracer package	FileRepMetagen	
Webbit package	Blocked	

Avast and AVG had 10 false alarms.

K	7
1	

False alarm found in some parts of	Detected as	Supposed prevalence
AdwCleaner package	Suspicious Program (ID700019)	
ArchiCrypt package	Suspicious Program (ID700021)	
Archive package	Trojan (004943941)	•
BlazeMedia package	Suspicious Program (ID700017)	
Burst package	Suspicious Program (ID700021)	
CL package	Riskware (dec0049c1)	
Clickr package	Trojan (0058dd021)	
Commander package	Suspicious Program (ID700021)	
Datenbank package	Riskware (0040eff71)	
DiagramDesigner package	Suspicious Program (ID700021)	
DialerControl package	Suspicious Program (ID700021)	
DQSD package	Suspicious Program (ID700018)	•
ImDisk package	Suspicious Program (ID700021)	•
Jam package	Suspicious Program (ID700021)	
Jdtricks package	Suspicious Program (ID700021)	
Leadtek package	Suspicious Program (ID700021)	
MrToolbox package	Suspicious Program (ID700022)	
Overclock package	Suspicious Program (ID700021)	
Pioneer package	Suspicious Program (ID700026)	
Polish package	Suspicious Program (ID700021)	
Smadav package	Suspicious Program (ID700027)	
SPS package	Suspicious Program (ID700021)	
TotalText package	Suspicious Program (ID700016)	
UnPop package	Suspicious Program (ID700027)	
Winboard package	Suspicious Program (ID700026)	

K7 had 25 false alarms.

G Data

False alarm found in some parts of	Detected as	Supposed prevalence
Abfluege package	Win32.Heur.7E605EF (CyberDefenseCloud)	
AMP package	Win32.Heur.1E0E31ED (CyberDefenseCloud)	
AutoHotKey package	Win32.Heur.D58919DD (CyberDefenseCloud)	
AVG package	Win32.Heur.7E605EF (CyberDefenseCloud)	
Bench package	Win32.Heur.CD15437A (CyberDefenseCloud)	
Biostar package	Win32.Heur.7E605EF (CyberDefenseCloud)	
Calendar package	Win32.Heur.1E0E31ED (CyberDefenseCloud)	
CDstart package	Win32.Heur.7E605EF (CyberDefenseCloud)	

Challenger package	Win32.Heur.7E605EF (CyberDefenseCloud)	
CL package	Gen:Variant.Graftor.955535 (Engine A)	
Clickr package	Win32.Heur.CD15437A (CyberDefenseCloud)	
CNC package	Win32.Heur.7E605EF (CyberDefenseCloud)	
CPUtest package	Win32.Heur.7E605EF (CyberDefenseCloud)	
Crillion package	Win32.Heur.7E605EF (CyberDefenseCloud)	
CWK package	Win32.Heur.CD15437A (CyberDefenseCloud)	
Datenbank package	Win32.Heur.CD15437A (CyberDefenseCloud)	
Decrap package	Win32.Heur.CD15437A (CyberDefenseCloud)	
DeskCalc package	Gen:Heur.Mint.Titirez.Hr0@6Gaaz57S	
DriverView package	Win32.Heur.828A692 (CyberDefenseCloud)	
DrSoftware package	Win32.Heur.828A692 (CyberDefenseCloud)	
Faronics package	Win32.Heur.7E6050EF (CyberDefenseCloud)	
FFDshow package	Win32.Heur.7E605EF (CyberDefenseCloud)	
Fileanalyser package	Win32.Heur.7E605EF (CyberDefenseCloud)	
FileZilla package	Win32.Heur.7E605EF (CyberDefenseCloud)	
Floppy package	Win32.Heur.CD15437A (CyberDefenseCloud)	
GigaByte package	Win32.Heur.828A692 (CyberDefenseCloud)	•
Kalk package	Win32.Heur.CD15437A (CyberDefenseCloud)	
Karma package	Win32.Heur.8282A692 (CyberDefenseCloud)	
LinkGenerator package	Win32.Heur.7E605EF (CyberDefenseCloud)	
MailAlert package	Win32.Heur.7E605EF (CyberDefenseCloud)	
Max package	Win32.Heur.1E0E31ED (CyberDefenseCloud)	
MSI package	Win32.Heur.7E605EF (CyberDefenseCloud)	
OpenImage package	Gen:Variant.Fugrafa.195558	
OpenOffice package	Win32.Heur.FF49E01E (CyberDefenseCloud)	
PCW package	JS.Heur.Calisto.3.D0313108.Gen	
Pestblock package	Win32.Heur.7E605EF (CyberDefenseCloud)	
Pioneer package	Win32.Heur.7E6050EF (CyberDefenseCloud)	
Polish package	Win32.Trojan.PSE.F5TQRF (CyberDefenseCloud)	
Preishai package	Win32.Heur.CD15437A (CyberDefenseCloud)	
ProDVD package	Gen:Trojan.Heur3.LPT.bmW@aWOsvtbab	
QuickBatch package	Win32.Heur.20BEE2002 (CyberDefenseCloud)	
Regcool package	Win32.Heur.7E605EF (CyberDefenseCloud)	
RegSeeker package	Win32.Heur.FF49E01E (CyberDefenseCloud)	
Service package	Win32.Heur.7E605EF (CyberDefenseCloud)	
Spam package	Win32.heur.1E0E31ED (CyberDefenseCloud)	
SPS package	Win32.Heur.CD15437A (CyberDefenseCloud)	
Startdelay package	Win32.Heur.7E605EF (CyberDefenseCloud)	

Starttime package	Win32.Heur.1E0E31D (CyberDefenseCloud)	
TextMaker package	Win32.Heur.7E605EF (CyberDefenseCloud)	
Tiscali package	Win32.Heur.8282A692 (CyberDefenseCloud)	
Toppler package	Win32.Heur.7E605EF (CyberDefenseCloud)	
Tweakpower package	Win32.Heur.FF49E01E (CyberDefenseCloud)	
UnPop package	Win32.Heur.1E0E31ED (CyberDefenseCloud)	
URLfind package	Win32.Heur.7E605EF (CyberDefenseCloud)	
Various package	Win32.Backdoor.Sakurel.DA30N8 (CyberDefenseCloud)	
Winpatrol package	Win32.Heur.CD15437A (CyberDefenseCloud)	
WinTime package	Win32.Heur.7E605EF (CyberDefenseCloud)	
Worms package	Win32.Heur.CD15437A (CyberDefenseCloud)	
ZoomPlayer package	Win32.Heur.FF49E01E (CyberDefenseCloud)	

G Data had 59 false alarms. According to the vendor, the product had more FPs than usual due to a bug they had in March 2022, which was fixed after the test.

Panda

False alarm found in some parts of	Detected as	Supposed prevalence
Abfluege package	Suspicious	
Acronis package	Suspicious	
Ageia package	Suspicious	
AlZip package	Suspicious	
Atomic package	Suspicious	
Aviso package	Suspicious	
AZN package	Suspicious	
BCX package	Suspicious	
BietButler package	Suspicious	
Biostar package	Suspicious	
Black package	Suspicious	
BlazeMedia package	Suspicious	
Bubble package	Suspicious	
Calendar package	Suspicious	
Call package	Suspicious	•
Checkmail package	Suspicious	
CL package	Suspicious	
Clock package	Suspicious	
Clocx package	Suspicious	
CNC package	Suspicious	
Combine package	Suspicious	
Czoomer package	Suspicious	

DateInTray package	Suspicious	
Disable package	Suspicious	
DropIt package	Suspicious	
Easo package	Trj/StartPage.DAW	
Elenco package	Suspicious	
ExtensionManager package	Suspicious	
Faronics package	Suspicious	
Feratel package	Malicious Packer	
Flower package	Suspicious	
Fototuning package	Suspicious	
Foxit package	Trojan	
Garrys package	Suspicious	
GetNetwork package	Suspicious	
Goowiba package	Suspicious	
GTracing package	Suspicious	
Hardalyzer package	Suspicious	
HardwareInspector package	Suspicious	
Haztek package	Suspicious	
Hotkicks package	Suspicious	
Intrapact package	Suspicious	
Jam package	Suspicious	
Jukebox package	Suspicious	
Keyboardlink package	Suspicious	
MagicText package	Suspicious	
Menue package	Suspicious	
Merchant package	Suspicious	
Minitool package	Suspicious	
Modem package	Suspicious	
Moodbook package	Suspicious	
Muenzen package	Suspicious	
Munnin package	Suspicious	
NetSMS package	Suspicious	
Office package	Trj/Nabload.DMH	
OpenOffice package	Suspicious	
Outliner package	Suspicious	
PCviewer package	Suspicious	
PCW package	Suspicious	
Pegasun package	Suspicious	
PEtoUSB package	Suspicious	

PNotes package	Suspicious	
PrivacyExpert package	Suspicious	
Puzzle package	Suspicious	
Pyth package	Suspicious	
QT package	Suspicious	
QuickBatch package	Suspicious	
Rage3D package	Suspicious	
ReaConverter package	Suspicious	
RJT package	Suspicious	
Robot package	Suspicious	
RogueSpear package	Suspicious	
RSWE package	Suspicious	
RTL package	Suspicious	
Scumm package	Suspicious	
Shark package	Suspicious	
Spamihilator package	Suspicious	
Speedify package	Suspicious	•
SSE package	Suspicious	
Statusindicator package	Suspicious	
SteuerCD package	Suspicious	
SubFun package	Suspicious	
Subtitle package	Trj/RnkBend.A	•
Sunbird package	Suspicious	
System package	Suspicious	•
Tiscali package	Suspicious	
Toppler package	Suspicious	
UltraViewer package	Suspicious	
UnPop package	Suspicious	
Various package	Trj/GdSda.A	•
VideoFun package	Suspicious	
VideoTool package	Suspicious	
VirtualSkipper package	Suspicious	
WinPIM package	Suspicious	
Wsus package	Suspicious	•
XEditor package	Suspicious	

Panda had 96 false alarms.

Copyright and Disclaimer

This publication is Copyright © 2022 by AV-Comparatives®. Any use of the results, etc. in whole or in part, is ONLY permitted after the explicit written agreement of the management board of AV-Comparatives prior to any publication. AV-Comparatives and its testers cannot be held liable for any damage or loss, which might occur as result of, or in connection with, the use of the information provided in this paper. We take every possible care to ensure the correctness of the basic data, but a liability for the correctness of the test results cannot be taken by any representative of AV-Comparatives. We do not give any guarantee of the correctness, completeness, or suitability for a specific purpose of any of the information/content provided at any given time. No one else involved in creating, producing or delivering test results shall be liable for any indirect, special or consequential damage, or loss of profits, arising out of, or related to, the use or inability to use, the services provided by the website, test documents or any related data.

For more information about AV-Comparatives and the testing methodologies, please visit our website.

AV-Comparatives (April 2022)